

Interview Summary	Application N .		Applicant(s)	
	10/707,639		CO ET AL.	
	Examin r		Art Unit	
	Trung Q Nguyen		2829	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Trung Q Nguyen. (3) _____
 (2) Stuart Auvinen. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 April 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called Mr. Auvinen and suggested a terminal disclaimer to be filed by the applicant in order to overcome a double patenting rejection with claims 1-11 of U.S. patent number 6,700,398. Mr. Auvinen filed a terminal disclaimer on 04/23/04.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

David A. Zarneke
 David A. Zarneke
 Primary Examiner
 4/28/04

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Trung Q Nguyen
 Examiner's signature, if required